



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/634,992	08/08/2000	Kenji Imura	44319-053	4066	
7:	590 04/10/2003				
Kenneth L Cage Esquire McDermott Will & Emery 600 13th Street NW			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, MICHELLE P		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2851		
			DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	09/634,992	IMURA, KENJI	RA, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
	Michelle Nguyen	2851					
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply we have reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status 1) Decreasing to assess the second file.	.al						
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	_						
<u>, </u>	b) This action is non-final.	4	•				
3) Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims			IS				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	•						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti Application Papers	ion and/or election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 August 200</u>		ted to by the Examiner					
Applicant may not request that any object		•					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed							
If approved, corrected drawings are requ							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority d	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority d							
application from the Interna	f the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action			· \				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim fora) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang			1011 <i>)</i> .				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT S) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page 	O-948) 5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .					

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "a storage device for storing an approximate function having an angle of an illuminating direction with respect to a reference direction as a variable if the reference direction is a direction symmetrical with the specific direction with respect to a center axis of the main body in parallel to a normal to the opening, and having a plurality of undetermined coefficients including an angle of inclination of the center axis of the main body with respect to a normal to the surface of the object" in lines 15-22. The limitation does not set forth an alternative to the condition, thereby rendering the claim vague and indefinite. The term "if" further renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the term "if" are part of the claimed invention.

Claims 2-9 include all limitations set forth in claim 1.

For reasons in connection with claim 1 as discussed above, claims 10, 16 and 25 are considered to be vague and indefinite.

Claims 11-15 include all limitations set forth in claim 10.

Claims 17-24 include all limitations set forth in claim 16.

Art Unit: 2851

Claims 26-28 include all limitations set forth in claim 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. The following rejections rely on references applied to the claims as best understood (see above discussion with respect to 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph).
- 5. Claims 1-6, 9-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,917,495 to Steenhoek.

With regard to claims 1-6 and 9, Steenhoek discloses a measurement apparatus (colorimeter 10) for measuring color of an object, comprising:

a main body (see Fig. 3) having an opening (port 37) opposed to an object (sample surface 14) to be measured (see Figs. 3, 5, 7);

a plurality of illuminators (illumination source lamps 11a, 11b, 11c) for illuminating a surface of the object in directions different from one another (see Fig. 1);

a light detector (detector 18) for detecting reflected light in a specified direction from the object illuminated by the plurality of illuminators and outputting light detection signals corresponding to light intensities (see Col. 4, lines 6-10, Col. 8, line 64 to Col. 9, line 16, Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2851

a first calculator (microcomputer 29) for calculating reflection characteristic measurement values of the measurement object in correspondence with the plurality of illuminators based on the light detection signals (see Col. 8, line 64 to Col. 9, line 16);

a storage device (microcomputer 29) (see Col. 6, lines 42-6); and a second calculator for determining a plurality of undetermined coefficients based on the respective reflection characteristic measurement values and the angles of the illuminating directions (see Col. 8, line 64 to Col. 9, line 16).

Steenhoek further teaches the reflection characteristic to be a spectral reflection characteristic dependent on wavelength (see Col. 2, lines 37-56, Col. 4, lines 6-10).

With regard to claims 10-12 and 15, Steenhoek discloses a measurement apparatus (colorimeter 10) for measuring color of an object, comprising:

a main body (see Fig. 3) having an opening (port 37) opposed to an object (sample surface 14) to be measured (see Figs. 3, 5, 7);

a plurality of illuminators (illumination source lamps 11a, 11b, 11c) for illuminating a surface of the object in directions different from one another (see Fig. 1);

a light detector (detector 18) for detecting reflected light in a specified direction from the object illuminated by the plurality of illuminators and outputting light detection signals corresponding to light intensities (see Col. 4, lines 6-10, Col. 8, line 64 to Col. 9, line 16, Fig. 1); and

a calculator (microcomputer 29) for calculating a reflection characteristic of the object (see Col. 8, lines 64 to Col. 9, line 16).

Art Unit: 2851

Steenhoek further teaches the reflection characteristic to be a spectral reflection characteristic dependent on wavelength (see Col. 2, lines 37-56, Col. 4, lines 6-10).

6. Claims 16-21, 24, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,583,642 to Nakazono.

With regard to claims 16-21 and 24, Nakazono discloses a measurement apparatus for measuring color of an object, comprising:

a main body having an opening opposed to an object (sample coating S) to be measured (see Fig. 1; Although a main body is not shown explicitly, it is understood that the measuring device shown schematically is housed within a main body; Although an opening is not shown explicitly, it is understood that the measuring device comprises an opening so that light emerging from the device may be incident on the sample coating S.);

an illuminator (light source 10) for illuminating a surface of the object in a specific direction (45 degrees from the normal to the sample coating S) (see Col. 4, lines 5-8, Fig. 1);

a plurality of light detectors (sensors 12, 14, 16) for detecting reflected light in directions different from one another from the object illuminated by the illuminator and outputting light detection signals corresponding to light intensities (see Col. 4, line 66 to Col. 5, line 6, Fig. 1);

a first calculator for calculating reflection characteristic measurement values of the object in correspondence with the plurality of light detectors based on the

Art Unit: 2851

light detection signals (see Col. 5, lines 2-6; Although a calculator is not taught explicitly, it is understood that the determinations of values discussed are made by a calculator.);

a storage device (see Col. 4, line 66 to Col. 5, line 6; Although a storage device is not taught explicitly, it is understood that the measuring device comprises a storage device for storing values used for calculations.); and

a second calculator for determining a plurality of undetermined coefficients based on the respective reflection characteristic measurement values and the angles of the light detecting directions (see Col. 5, lines 7-24; Although a calculator is not taught explicitly, it is understood that the determinations of values discussed are made by a calculator.).

Nakazono further teaches the reflection characteristic to be a spectral reflection characteristic dependent on wavelength (see Col. 5, lines 2-24).

With regard to claims 25 and 28, Nakazono discloses a measurement apparatus (measuring device) for measuring color of an object, comprising:

a main body having an opening opposed to an object (sample coating S) to be measured (see Fig. 1; Although a main body is not shown explicitly, it is understood that the measuring device shown schematically is housed within a main body; Although an opening is not shown explicitly, it is understood that the measuring device comprises an opening so that light emerging from the device may be incident on the sample coating S.);

Art Unit: 2851

an illuminator (light source 10) for illuminating a surface of the object in a specific direction (45 degrees from the normal to the sample coating S) (see Col. 4, lines 5-8, Fig. 1);

a plurality of light detectors (sensors 12, 14, 16) for detecting reflected light in directions different from one another from the object illuminated by the illuminator and outputting light detection signals corresponding to light intensities (see Col. 4, line 66 to Col. 5, line 6, Fig. 1); and

a calculator for calculating a reflection characteristic of the object (see Col. 5, lines 7-24; Although a calculator is not taught explicitly, it is understood that the calculations discussed are performed by a calculator).

Nakazono further teaches the reflection characteristic to be a spectral reflection characteristic dependent on wavelength (see Col. 5, lines 2-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 7-8 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Steenhoek as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, respectively, and further in view of U.S. Patent No 5,706,083 to lida et al.

Steenhoek teaches each of the plurality of illuminators as discussed above with respect to claims 1 and 10, respectively, to include a light source (light source 10) (see

Art Unit: 2851

Fig. 1). Steenhoek does not teach each of the plurality of illuminators to include a beam restricting plate or a collimator lens. However, lida et al. teach that it is well known in the art to employ an illuminator that includes a beam restricting plate having an opening (slit 12) through which a beam from a light source (lamp 11) passes (see Fig. 9). Further, lida et al. teach that it is well known in the art to employ an illuminator that includes a collimator lens (lens 13) for converging the beam having passed through the opening, the opening being located in vicinity of a focusing position of the collimator lens and having a rectangular shape (see Fig. 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add to each of the plurality of illuminators of Steenhoek a beam restricting plate and a collimator lens as taught by lida et al. for reducing the leakage of light, thereby further intensifying the light, and for making the light more uniform.

lida et al. do not teach the beam restricting plate to have first and second openings through which a beam from the light source passes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two openings in the beam restricting plate of lida et al. since mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Further, a plurality of holes allows for a plurality of beams of uniform intensity to be emitted at predetermined spaces, which therefore allows for further control of the light.

9. Claims 22-23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazono as applied to claims 16 and 25 above, respectively, and further in view of U.S. Patent No 5,706,083 to lida et al.

Art Unit: 2851

Nakazono teaches the illuminator as discussed above with respect to claims 16 and 25, respectively, to include a light source (light source 10) (see Fig. 1). Nakazono does not teach the illuminator to include a beam restricting plate or a collimator lens. However, lida et al. teach that it is well known in the art to employ an illuminator that includes a beam restricting plate having an opening (slit 12) through which a beam from a light source (lamp 11) passes (see Fig. 9). Further, lida et al. teach that it is well known in the art to employ an illuminator that includes a collimator lens (lens 13) for converging the beam having passed through the opening, the opening being located in vicinity of a focusing position of the collimator lens and having a rectangular shape (see Fig. 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add to the illuminator of Nakazono a beam restricting plate and a collimator lens as taught by lida et al. for reducing the leakage of light, thereby further intensifying the light, and for making the light more uniform.

lida et al. do not teach the beam restricting plate to have first and second openings through which a beam from the light source passes. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide two openings in the beam restricting plate of lida et al. since mere duplication of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. Further, a plurality of holes allows for a plurality of beams of uniform intensity to be emitted at predetermined spaces, which therefore allows for further control of the light.

Art Unit: 2851

Examiner Comments

10. Authorization for an examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ed Wise on April 1, 2003. The amendment as discussed includes changing each instance of "specific direction" to --specified direction-- throughout the claims.

This amendment has not been made. Applicant may wish to include the appropriate changes in a response to this Office action.

Conclusion

- 11. The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - U.S. Patent No. 4,479,718 to Alman
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,151,751 to Nakajima, deceased et al.
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,477,438 to Nakata et al.
 - U.S. Patent No. 5,963,334 to Yamaguchi et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-2771. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on 703-308-2847. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/634,992 Page 11

Art Unit: 2851

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4900.

mpn

April 3, 2003

RUSSELL ADAMS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800